SAT Report for Case # P-18-0020

General

Report Complete Status 01/28/2019

Status: Date:

CRSS Date: 10/23/2017 **SAT Date:** 10/24/2017 SAT Chair: Legacy

Placeholder

Consolidated N

PMN?

Consolidated

Set:

Submitter: Myriant Corporation

CAS Number: None

Ecotox

Related Cases:

Health Related ANALOGS;

Cases:

Chemical Name: Butanedioic acid, polymer with

2-ethyl-2-(hydroxymethyl)-1,3-propanediol, 2,5-furandione and

1,3-propanediol, 3a,4,5,6,7,7a-hexahydro-4,7-methano-1H-inden-5(or 6)-yl

ester

Use:

(by terminal

groups).

Trade name: Myribond(R) DX

PV 500000.0000

Max (kg/yr):

Ecotox Gallagher, Fate Wong, Health Salazar, **Assessor:** Jeffrey Assessor: Edmund Assessor: Keith

Physical Chemical Information

| Molecular Weight: | 535.00 Physical State - Neat: | Liquid | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| Percent 500: | 35.00 Percent 1000: | 57.00 | |
| Melting Point (Measured): | Melting Point (est): | MPD (EPI): | 20.00 |
| Vapor Pressure: | Vapor Pressure (est): | <0.000001 VP (EPI): | 9.55e-010 |
| Water Solubility: | ` ' | 0.000019 Water Solubility (EPI): | |
| Log Kow: | | Log Kow (EPI): | 6.72 |
| Log P: | Log P Comment: | | |

SAT Concern

| Ecotox Rating 1 | Ecotox | |
|-----------------|---------|--|
| (1): | Rating | |
| | Comment | |
| | (1): | |
| Ecotox | Ecotox | |
| Rating (2): | Rating | |
| | Comment | |
| | (2): | |
| Health Rating 1 | Health | |
| (1): | Rating | |
| | Comment | |
| | (1): | |
| Health Rating | Health | |
| (2): | Rating | |
| | Comment | |
| | (2): | |

PBT Ratings

| Persistence | Bioaccumulation | Toxicity | Comments |
|-------------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| 3 | 1 | 1 | |

```
Exposure Y
Based Review
(Health)?
Exposure Based N
Review
(Ecotox)?
SAT None.
Keywords:
```

```
Fate P-18-0020
AssessmentFATE:
Summary: Estimations for typical MW polymer, MW = 539, C31H38O8
            Liquid with MP
            < 25 °C (E)
            \log Kow = 6.72 (E)
            S = 0.019 \text{ mg/L at } 25 \text{ °C (E)}
            VP < 1.0E-6 \text{ torr at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C } (E)
            BP > 400 \, ^{\circ}C \, (E)
            H <
            1.00E-8 (E)
            \log Koc = 7.89 (E)
            log Fish BCF = 4.10 (13,000) (E)
            log Fish BAF = 1.15 (14) (E)
            POTW removal (%) = 90 via sorption
            Time for complete ultimate aerobic biodeg > mo
            Sorption to
            soils/sediments = v.strong
            PBT Potential: P3B1
            *CEB FATE:
            Migration to ground water = negl
            Bioconcentration factor to be put
            into E-FAST: 14
            PMN Material:
            Overall wastewater treatment
            removal is 90% via sorption.
            Sorption to sludge is strong based on
            the STP model output.
            Air Stripping (Volatilization to air) is
```

Removal by biodegradation in wastewater treatment is negligible to

negligible based on the estimated physical-chemical properties.

moderate, with uncertainty. There was uncertainty due to the fact that the PMN is a polymer with a variable chemical structure. Depending on the structure and the terminated ends, the smaller pieces may biodegrade in wastewater treatment plants.

The aerobic aquatic biodegradation

half-life is greater than months based on structure.

The anaerobic

aquatic biodegradation half-life is greater than months based on the aerobic biodegradation half-life. The anaerobic biodegradation half-life is projected to be greater than or equal to the aerobic biodegradation half-life.

Sorption to soil and

sediment is very strong based on the estimated physical-chemical properties.

Migration to groundwater is negligible based on the estimated physical-chemical properties.

PMN Material:

High

Persistence (P3) is based on the estimated anaerobic biodegradation half-life.

Low Bioaccumulation potential (B1) is based on the estimated Bioaccumulation factor (BAF).

Bioconcentration/Bioaccumulation factor to be put into E-Fast:

14

Removal in 90 WWT/POTW

(Overall):

| Condition | Rating Values | Comment |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | w/ Rating Description | |
| WWT/POTW | 3 | |
| Sorption: | | |
| WWT/POTW | 4 | |
| Stripping: | | |
| Biodegradation | 4 | |
| Removal: | | |
| Biodegradation | | |
| Destruction: | | |
| Aerobic Biodeg | 4 | |
| Ult: | | |
| Aerobic Biodeg | | |
| Prim: | | |
| Anaerobic Biodeg | 4 | |
| Ult: | | |

| Condition | Rating Values | Comment |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | w/ Rating Description | |
| Anaerobic Biodeg | | |
| Prim: | | |
| Hydrolysis (t1/2 | | |
| at pH 7,25C) A: | | |
| Hydrolysis (t1/2 | | |
| at pH 7,25C) B: | | |
| Sorption to | 1 | |
| Soils/Sediments: | | |
| Migration to | 1 | |
| Ground Water: | | |
| Photolysis A, | | |
| Direct: | | |
| Photolysis B, | | |
| Indirect: | | |
| Atmospheric Ox | | |
| A, OH: | | |
| Atmospheric Ox | | |
| B, O3: | | |

Health

Assessment

Health Summary: Absorption of the low molecular weight fraction (35% < 500, 57% < 1000) is poor all routes based on analogs. Although no significant health concerns were identified, there are no hazard data to confirm the expected low toxicity.

Routes of

Test Data Submitted

Exposure:

| Test Data | |
|------------------|--|
| Submitted: | |

Ecotox Assessment

| Test organism | Test Type | Test Endpoint | Predicted | Measured | Comments |
|----------------|--------------|------------------|-----------|----------|--|
| Fish | 96-h | LC50 | * | | * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% |
| Daphnid | 48-h | LC50 | * | | <500 and 57% <1000) * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000) |
| Green Algae | 96-h | EC50 | * | | <500 and 57% <1000) * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000) |
| Fish | - | Chronic Value | * | | * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of |

| Test organism | Test | Test | Predicted | Measured | Comments |
|----------------|------|------------------|-----------|----------|---|
| | Type | Endpoint | | | |
| Daphnid | - | Chronic Value | * | | 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000) * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are |
| | | | | | based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000) |
| Green Algae | | Chronic Value | * | | * = no effects at saturation. For the ecotoxicity endpoint value, predictions are based on ECOSAR (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000) |

| Factors | Most Sensitive Endpoint | Assessment Factor | CoC | Comment |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----|--|
| Acute | | | | Because |
| Acquatic: | | | | hazards are not expected up to the water solubility limit, acute and chronic concentrations of concern are not identified. |
| Chronic | | | | Because |
| Acquatic: | | | | hazards are not expected up to the water solubility limit, acute and chronic concentrations of concern are not identified. |

No releases to water

| Ecotox | |
|-----------------|--|
| Route of | |
| Exposure? | |

| Factors | Values | Comments |
|-----------|------------------|----------|
| SARs: | Esters | |
| SAR | Esters-insoluble | |
| Class: | | |
| TSCA NCC | Esters | |
| Category? | | |

Recommended Testing

Ecotox

Value Comments

Predictions are based on QSARs for esters (ECOSAR V2.0; assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000); Log Kow = 6.72 (P, 538 MW); liquid with an unknown MP (P); S = 0.02 mg/L (P, 538 MW); effective concentrations based on 100% active ingredients and mean measured concentrations; hardness <150 mg/L as CaCO3; and TOC <2.0 mg/L.

Ecotox

Factors Comments

Environmental Hazard: Environmental hazard is

relevant to whether a new chemical substance is likely to present unreasonable risks because the significance of the risk is dependent upon both the hazard (or toxicity) of the chemical substance and the extent of exposure to the substance. EPA estimated environmental hazard of this new chemical substance using the Ecological Structure Activity Relationships (ECOSAR) Predictive Model (https://www.epa.gov/tsca-screening-tools/ecological-structure-activity-releationships-ecosar-predictive-model);

specifically the QSAR for esters (assessed structure had a molecular weight of 538; Parent PMN MW 535 with 35% <500 and 57% <1000). Acute and chronic toxicity values estimated for fish, aquatic invertebrates, and algae are all no effects at saturation. These toxicity values indicate that the new chemical substance is expected to have a low environmental hazard. Because hazards are not expected up to the water solubility limit, acute and chronic concentrations of concern are not identified.

Environmental Risk: Risks to the environment from acute and chronic exposure are not expected at any concentration of the new chemical substance soluble in the water (i.e., no effects at saturation).